

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 14599 initia 22313-1450 www.uapun.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/927,361	08/13/2001	Min-Ho Lim	1630-0126P	4558	
2292	7590 11/25/2003		EXAM	INER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			GUHARAY, KARABI		
	л ЛСН, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2879		
			DATE MAILED: 11/25/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	pplication No. Applicant(s)		
09/927,361	LIM, MIN-HO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Karabi Guharay	2879		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 03 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

		PERIOD FOR REPLY [Check either a) or b)]
		The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b)	Ц	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In one vent, however, will the salustop period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
e ha e un ?) as	ve b der : set !	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension een fled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from, (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if , may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
ا	A 37	Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.🛛	Tr	ne proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)[they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) [they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) [>	It they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) [they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:
3.⊠	A	oplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.
ı. 🗆	Ne Ca	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment anceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.		e a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the optication in condition for allowance because:
6.		ie affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly iised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
'.⊠		or purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	Th	e status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	С	laim(s) allowed:
	С	laim(s) objected to:
	С	laim(s) rejected: 1-5.
		laim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
3.	Th	e proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
0.	No	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
0.[0 [ther:

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): rejection of claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C 112 first paragraph & second paragraph.

K. Guharay

NIMESHKUMAR D. PATEL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2890